

ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS

| Person ID | Name or Organisation | Additional Modification Reference | Representation | Council's Response |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| 12 | Lancashire Enterprise Partnership | AM20 Samlesbury Enterprise Zone | The proposed wording "the earliest that development could take place on this land will be after this DPD has been adopted" is not supported by the LEP. This wording is unnecessary and, given the uncertainty over the timescales to adoption, could be factually incorrect. The statement could also be taken to predetermine the proper consideration of proposals on their merits and in the context of relevant circumstances at that point in time. | |
| 8 | Lancashire County Council | AM20: Enterprise Zone Samlesbury Green Belt | This includes the proposed wording " <i>the earliest that development could take place on this land will be after this DPD has been adopted</i> ". This wording is unnecessary and, given the uncertainty over the timescales to adoption, could be factually incorrect. The statement could also be taken to predetermine the proper consideration of proposals on their merits and in the context of relevant circumstances at that point in time. | |
| 8 | Lancashire County Council | AM50: Comprehensive Masterplan And Appendix To Additional Modifications – Glossary Of Terms, "Comprehensive Masterplan" | <p>In the context of Cuerden Strategic Site which has more than one land owner, the wording of Policy C4 should refer to the development being integrated rather than comprehensive. This removes any potential suggestion that land owners have to work together, but rather reflects the planning ambition that whatever is brought forward and delivered by potential different parties is done so in a manner which seeks to integrate one with the other. The word comprehensive should also be removed from the justification for the same reason.</p> <p>The glossary of terms to the plan document does not refer to "<i>comprehensive development</i>" but rather to "<i>comprehensive masterplan</i>". This does not address the concerns about the interpretation of comprehensive development as discussed. In addition the term comprehensive masterplan is unnecessary as by definition a master plan will deal comprehensively with relevant issues.</p> <p>It is critical that either the term comprehensive is removed from policies relating to Cuerden or that an appropriate definition of comprehensive development for planning purposes in the context of Cuerden is incorporated in to the Site Allocations and Development Management DPD. The County Council would not want the term comprehensive to be taken to mean that proposals could not go ahead at a particular time on one part of the site without other parts of the site being developed at the same time.</p> | |